MAR 1 4 2005



Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number 10/722 790

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10/722,799		· )	
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	November 26, 2003			
FORM	First Named Inventor	Victor Zaderej			
	Art Unit	2839			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial i	Examiner Name filing)	Phuong K. Di	Phuong K. Dinh		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	Attorney Docket Number A4-058 US			
	ENCLOSURES (Check all	that apply)	After A	llowance Communication to TC	
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board		
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and II			eals and Interferences	
Amendment/Reply	Petition	[		Communication to TC I Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence A		Status		
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer	[	Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund			Response to Restriction Requirement; Return Receipt Postcard	
Information Disclosure Statement	ation Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s)				
	Landscape Table on CD				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks Response to Restriction Requirement Postcard	ponse to Restriction Requirement/Remarks (2 sheets); Transmittal Sheet; Return Receipt			
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	TUDE OF ADDITIONAL ATTO	DNEW OR	ACENT		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name  Molex Incorporated					
Signature Robert of Zeitle					
Printed name Robert J. Zeitler					
Date March 8, 2005	F	Reg. No. 3	37,973		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is b sufficient postage as first class mail in an enter the date shown below:	eing facsimile transmitted to the USPT0 velope addressed to: Commissioner for	O or deposite Patents, P.C	ed with the Uni	ted States Postal Service with slexandria, VA 22313-1450 on	
Signature					
Typed or printed name Agatha Morey			Date	March 8, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Zaderej et al.	documents referred to as being attached, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on		
<b>SERIAL NO.:</b> 10/722,799	the date shown below as first class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop:  Non-fee Amendment, Commissioner of Patents,		
FILED: November 26, 2003	P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.		
FOR: HINGE CONNECTOR FOR ELECTRONIC DEVICE	) March 8, 2005		
EXAMINER: Phuong K. Dinh	) Date		
<b>ART UNIT:</b> 2839	) Alle		
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: A4-058 US	) Agatha Morey )		

Commissioner of Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

## **ELECTION**

In response to the Office Action mailed February 8, 2005, kindly consider the following remarks:

## **REMARKS**

Applicants provisionally elect specie I. Claims 1-10, 12, 13 and 19 read upon specie I. The Examiner is strongly encouraged to reconsider the restriction requirement in this case as it relates to specie II. Claim 11 reads upon specie II, in addition to those claims that read on specie I. Specie II is nothing more than specie I with terminals extending from both sides of the circuit board rather than from only one side of the circuit board. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider species I and II.